

Post-Tenure Review Process

School of Education and Human Development

The Post-tenure Review (PTR) is defined by The University of Colorado as: “a review of a tenured faculty member's performance record undertaken every five years. This regular review is undertaken by the department/primary unit and it determines whether the faculty member is meeting the professional standards outlined by the department/primary unit's policy on written standards and criteria” (Administrative Policy Statement #1003, 2006). A Triggered Review refers “to a review that is undertaken when a tenured faculty member receives an annual evaluation of *below expectations*” (APS #1003, 2006, p. 2). A faculty member who receive a summary evaluation of *below expectations* at any time during the five year PTR cycle are required to meet with the Dean and develop and implement a written Performance Improvement Agreement (PIA) to remedy their problems (For additional information, refer to Administrative Policy Statement #1003, 2006)

The Post-Tenure Review Process

As stated by the Post-Tenure Review Campus Policy and Procedures (2010) a PTR will be conducted by appropriate faculty peers.

1. The primary unit [SEHD RTP Committee] examines the faculty member “updated curriculum vita; the five previous annual performance evaluation reports, including students’ evaluation of teaching, peer reviews of teaching, and if desired, other types of teaching evaluation data; copies of recent publications and evidence about research funding; evidence of university and public services; and if applicable evidence of clinical work” (Post-Tenure Review Campus Policy, 2010, p. 2). In addition, the committee will review an updated Professional Plan with projections for the next 5 years (refer to Post-tenure Review Policy, 2010). The annual merit evaluation remains the basic annual instrument of faculty evaluation (Administrative Policy Statement #1003, 2006). A faculty member who received a “Triggered Review” will meet with the Dean to review progress on the individual Performance Improvement Agreement (PIA) until the problem is resolved.
2. The primary unit [SEHD RTP Committee] will write a brief report summarizing the unit's findings regarding the faculty member's adherence to the previous Professional Plan(s) (taking into account any differentiated workload when present) and stating conclusions about his/her productivity and contributions to the University in teaching, research/creative work, and service. The letter shall provide, “an overall evaluation of the faculty member's performance as either outstanding, exceeding expectations, meeting expectations, or below expectations in teaching, research/creative work, clinical activity, and service, and shall provide a narrative explanation of that evaluation” (University of Colorado, Post-Tenure Review # 1003).
3. PTR individual reports will be forwarded to the Dean, who will report to the Academic Vice Chancellor on the results of all the post-tenure reviews in the SEHD. The Dean's report is due to the Vice Chancellor on November.

Post-Tenure Portfolio Materials

To aid in the evaluation of the candidate’s post-tenure review, the following materials are required for post-tenure review in the SEHD:

.

Approved by Dean Rebecca Kantor, Associate Vice Chancellor for Faculty Affairs, Laura Goodwin and Michel Dahlin, Senior Project Manager, Office of the Chancellor on 11/26/13

- I. Current Curriculum Vita**
- II. Annual Merit Performance Evaluations (last 5 years)**
- III. Previous Professional Plans and Current Professional Plan (5 year projection)**
- IV. Candidate's Statement (1-2 page statement)**
 - A. Reflect back on your accomplishments over the last five years
 - 1. What are the achievements you are most proud of over the last five years?
 - 2. What would you like the review committee to know that is not reflected in the factual material provided in the dossier?
 - 3. Discuss your future goals.
 - B. What issues that arose would you like to work on in the future?
 - C. Are there areas in which you could use some help?
- V. Teaching Materials (Required teaching materials)**
 - A. Teaching Statement (1-3 pages)
 - 1. Describe the steps you have taken in the past 5 years to improve your teaching (such as Center for Faculty Development workshops, ecollege or Canvas workshops, and other teaching workshop)
 - 2. Discuss how your teaching has changed since you received tenure or since your last post-tenure review whichever is more recent.
 - B. Provide a description of the courses you teach (is the course required within the degree or an elective);
 - C. Include copies of the summary sheets of your FCQ for the last five years (you may include comments if you wish);
 - D. Table of individual/independent instruction (e.g. independent studies, Ed.D. or Ph.D. committees)
 - E. Evidence of Peer Review of Teaching (for example: peer review letters, merit evaluation of teaching, peer observations, etc.
 - F. Other types of teaching evaluations (optional)
- VI. Research**
 - A. Research Statement (1-3 pages)
 - 1. Discuss Research Accomplishments over the last five years
 - 2. Publication table of refereed journals (since tenure or post-tenure review)
 - 3. Did you take a new research direction? If so, discuss your research goal and the time you expect to reach your productivity
 - B. List grants you received or applied for but were not funded (last five years)
- VII. Service**

Include a summary sheet listing the service activities you have undertaken at the department, college, campus, university level as well as service to your profession and community.

***Note, Faculty post-tenure review files are submitted electronically via LiveText**

Approved by Dean Rebecca Kantor, Associate Vice Chancellor for Faculty Affairs, Laura Goodwin and Michel Dahlin, Senior Project Manager, Office of the Chancellor on 11/26/13